



Author:	Supervisor ¹ :
Thesis title:	
Nature of the work ² :	Commissioned by:

GATEGORY	EVALUATION						
1. Scope of the topic	narrow	1	2	3		broad ³	
2. Degree of difficulty (theory, abstraction)	easy	1	2	3		difficult	
3. Thesis structure (logical structure of the work)	poor	1	2	3	4	5	good
4. Introduction of the research problem and previous research	poor	1	2	3	4	5	good
5. Completion of goals	poor	1	2	3	4	5	good
6. Author's evaluation of results	poor	1	2	3	4	5	good
7. Significance of results	low	1	2	3	4	5	great
8. Amount of supervision required	more	1	2	3			less
9. Language of the thesis (text structure, spelling)	poor	1	2	3	4	5	good
10. Layout and appearance (following instructions)	poor	1	2	3			good
Total points ⁴							

General evaluation:

Date:	Suggested grade:
Reviewers:	
Clafication of signatures:	
Supervisor (at the University)	Second reviewer

¹ Supervisor at the University

² Used evaluation scale: research, product development, other

³ The scale of 1 – 3 is applied to categories that are difficult to evaluate

⁴ Attach a summary to this evaluation. Suggested grading scale: 10 – 15 pts. sufficient (1); 16 – 21 pts. satisfactory (2); 22 – 28 pts. good (3); 29 – 35 pts. very good (4); 36 – 42 pts. excellent (5).