## Holding Power of Bioabsorbable Polylactide/Polyglycolide Screws (Biosorb and Lactosorb) and Tacks to Human Cadaver Parietal Bone

Johanna Tiainen<sup>1</sup>, Sanna Leinonen<sup>1</sup>, Jouko Ilomäki<sup>2</sup>, Esa Suokas<sup>2</sup>, Pertti Törmälä<sup>3</sup>, Timo Waris<sup>1</sup>, Nureddin Ashammakhi<sup>1,3</sup>

- 1. Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland.
- 2. Linvatec Biomaterials Ltd., Tampere, Finland.
- 3. Institute of Biomaterials, Tampere University of Technology, Tampere, Finland.

The aim of this study was to compare the pull-out forces of bioabsorbable polylactide/glycolyde (PLGA) tacks and screws in human cadaver parietal bones. Parietal bone pieces (c. 6 cm x 20 cm) were collected from five human male cadavers (age 47 to 75 years). Forty-nine BioSorbPDX® self-reinforced (SR-PLGA 80/20) tacks (diameter 1.5 mm, length 4.0 mm), 47 BioSorbPDX® (SR-PLGA 80/20) screws (diameter 1.5 mm, length 4.0 mm) and 46 LactoSorb® (PLGA 82/18) screws (diameter 1.5 mm, length 4.0 mm) were applied. The tacks were applied to drill holes using a special applicator gun (no tapping or tightening). The screws were applied to drill holes in the traditional way using tapping, and tightening with a screwdriver. A tensile testing machine was used. All the implants were tested thus: the head of the implant was held by an aluminum jig and the jig was pulled with wire until implant failure. The testing pull speed was 10 mm/min. Means and standard deviations (SDs) were calculated and the data analyzed using ANOVA. The pull-out force of the tacks was 115.9 ± 8.3 N, that of Lactosorb® screws was 112.9 ± 12.1 N and that of Biosorb® screws was 110.4 ± 8.9 N (statistically insignificant difference between the three groups). The most common reason for failure in the case of tacks was barb breakage (55.1%); it was thread breakage in the case of BioSorb® screws (66%) and stem split in the case of Lactosorb® screws (56%). Tacks seem to have a similar, perhaps even a little better holding power to cranial bone as screws and can hence be recommended for clinical application, as the procedure saves time and, consequently, costs.

## **Keywords**

Applicator gun, bioabsorbable, screw, SR-PLGA, tack

## Acknowledgements

Research funds from the Technology Development Center in Finland (TEKES, Biowaffle Project 40274/03 and MFM Project 424/31/04), the European Commission (EU Spare Parts Project QLK6-CT-2000-00487), the Academy of Finland (Project 73948) and the Ministry of Education (Graduate School of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering) are greatly appreciated.