

Angular momentum

O(3)

We consider *active* rotations.

3×3 orthogonal matrix $R \iff$ rotation in $\mathcal{R}^3$.

Number of parameters

1. RR^T symmetric $\Rightarrow RR^T$ has 6 independent parameters $\Rightarrow$ orthogonality condition $RR^T = 1$ gives 6 independent equations $\Rightarrow R$ has $9 - 6 = 3$ free parameters.
2. Rotation around $\hat{n}$ (2 angles) by the angle $\phi \Rightarrow 3$ parameters.
3. $\hat{n}\phi$ vector $\Rightarrow 3$ parameters.

3×3 orthogonal matrices form a group with respect to the matrix multiplication:

1. $R_1 R_2$ is orthogonal if R_1 and R_2 are orthogonal.
2. $R_1(R_2 R_3) = (R_1 R_2) R_3$, associativity.
3. $\exists$ identity $I =$ the unit matrix.
4. if R is orthogonal, then also the inverse matrix $R^{-1} = R^T$ is orthogonal.

The group is called O(3).

Generally rotations do not commute,

$$R_1 R_2 \neq R_2 R_1,$$

so the group is non-Abelian.

Rotations around a common axis commute.

Rotation around z -axis:

$$R_z(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_z \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \cos \phi - y \sin \phi \\ x \sin \phi + y \cos \phi \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Infinitesimal rotations up to the order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$:

$$R_z(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} & -\epsilon & 0 \\ \epsilon & 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_x(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} & -\epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_y(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} & 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\epsilon & 0 & 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} R_x(\epsilon) R_y(\epsilon) - R_y(\epsilon) R_x(\epsilon) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\epsilon^2 & 0 \\ \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= R_z(\epsilon^2) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

In a Hilbert space we associate

$$R \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}(R),$$

i.e.

$$|\alpha\rangle_R = \mathcal{D}(R)|\alpha\rangle.$$

We define the angular momentum (J) so that (we are not employing properties of the classical angular momentum $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{p}$)

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{n}, d\phi) = 1 - i \left(\frac{\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n}}{\hbar} \right) d\phi$$

and require that the rotation operator $\mathcal{D}$

- is unitary,
- is decomposable,
- $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow 1$, when $d\phi \rightarrow 0$.

We see that $\mathbf{J}$ must be Hermitean, i.e.

$$\mathbf{J}^\dagger = \mathbf{J}.$$

Moreover, we require that $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the same group properties as R , i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}_x(\epsilon) \mathcal{D}_y(\epsilon) - \mathcal{D}_y(\epsilon) \mathcal{D}_x(\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}_z(\epsilon^2) - 1.$$

Since rotations around a common axis commute a finite rotation can be constructed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\hat{n}\phi) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - i \left(\frac{\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n}}{\hbar} \right) \left(\frac{\phi}{N} \right) \right]^N \\ &= \exp \left(- \frac{i \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n} \phi}{\hbar} \right) \\ &= 1 - i \frac{\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n} \phi}{\hbar} - \frac{(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n})^2 \phi^2}{2\hbar^2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We apply this up to the order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \frac{i J_x \epsilon}{\hbar} - \frac{J_x^2 \epsilon^2}{2\hbar^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{i J_y \epsilon}{\hbar} - \frac{J_y^2 \epsilon^2}{2\hbar^2} \right) \\ &- \left(1 - \frac{i J_y \epsilon}{\hbar} - \frac{J_y^2 \epsilon^2}{2\hbar^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{i J_x \epsilon}{\hbar} - \frac{J_x^2 \epsilon^2}{2\hbar^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} J_x J_y \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\hbar^2} J_y J_x \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \\ &= 1 - i \frac{J_z \epsilon^2}{\hbar} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$[J_x, J_y] = i\hbar J_z.$$

Similarly for other components:

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} J_k.$$

We consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle J_x \rangle &\equiv \langle \alpha | J_x | \alpha \rangle \longrightarrow \\ &{}_R \langle \alpha | J_x | \alpha \rangle_R = \langle \alpha | \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger(\phi) J_x \mathcal{D}_z(\phi) | \alpha \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate

$$\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger(\phi) J_x \mathcal{D}_z(\phi) = \exp\left(\frac{iJ_z\phi}{\hbar}\right) J_x \exp\left(-\frac{iJ_z\phi}{\hbar}\right)$$

applying the Baker-Hausdorff lemma

$$\begin{aligned}e^{iG\lambda} A e^{-iG\lambda} &= \\ A + i\lambda[G, A] + \left(\frac{i^2\lambda^2}{2!}\right)[G, [G, A]] + \dots \\ + \left(\frac{i^n\lambda^n}{n!}\right)[G, [G, [G, \dots[G, A]]] \dots] + \dots\end{aligned}$$

where G is Hermitean. So we need the commutators

$$\begin{aligned}[J_z, J_x] &= i\hbar J_y \\ [J_z, [J_z, J_x]] &= i\hbar[J_z, J_y] = \hbar^2 J_x \\ [J_z, [J_z, [J_z, J_x]]] &= \hbar^2[J_z, J_x] = i\hbar^3 J_y \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

Substituting into the Baker-Hausdorff lemma we get

$$\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger(\phi) J_x \mathcal{D}_z(\phi) = J_x \cos \phi - J_y \sin \phi.$$

Thus the expectation value is

$$\langle J_x \rangle \longrightarrow {}_R \langle \alpha | J_x | \alpha \rangle_R = \langle J_x \rangle \cos \phi - \langle J_y \rangle \sin \phi.$$

Correspondingly we get for the other components

$$\begin{aligned}\langle J_y \rangle &\longrightarrow \langle J_y \rangle \cos \phi + \langle J_x \rangle \sin \phi \\ \langle J_z \rangle &\longrightarrow \langle J_z \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We see that the components of the expectation value of the angular momentum operator transform in rotations like a vector in $\mathcal{R}^3$:

$$\langle J_k \rangle \longrightarrow \sum_l R_{kl} \langle J_l \rangle.$$

Euler angles

1. Rotate the system counterclockwise by the angle α around the z -axis. The y -axis of the system coordinates rotates then to a new position y' .
2. Rotate the system counterclockwise by the angle β around the y' -axis. The system z -axis rotates now to a new position z' .
3. Rotate the system counterclockwise by the angle γ around the z' -axis.

Using matrices:

$$R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \equiv R_{z'}(\gamma) R_{y'}(\beta) R_z(\alpha).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}R_{y'}(\beta) &= R_z(\alpha) R_y(\beta) R_z^{-1}(\alpha) \\ R_{z'}(\gamma) &= R_{y'}(\beta) R_z(\gamma) R_{y'}^{-1}(\beta),\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= R_{y'}(\beta) R_z(\gamma) R_{y'}^{-1}(\beta) R_{y'}(\beta) R_z(\alpha) \\ &= R_{y'}(\beta) R_z(\alpha) R_z(\gamma) \\ &= R_z(\alpha) R_y(\beta) R_z^{-1}(\alpha) R_z(\alpha) R_z(\gamma) \\ &= R_z(\alpha) R_y(\beta) R_z(\gamma).\end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly

$$\mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{D}_z(\alpha) \mathcal{D}_y(\beta) \mathcal{D}_z(\gamma).$$