

1.3. Canonical quantization of fields

pg. ④:

$$H = \int d^3\vec{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \phi \partial_i \phi + \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 \right]$$

$$\pi(x) = \partial_0 \phi(x)$$

Generalize the steps from pg. ⑫:

- $\phi(x) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(x)$
- $\pi(x) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}(x)$
- $H \rightarrow \hat{H}$

- Postulate canonical commutator relations: equal time

$$[\hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{y})] = 0$$

$$[\hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{y})] = 0$$

$$[\hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{y})] = i \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \quad (1.17)$$

equivalent with $[\hat{x}_a, \hat{p}_b] = i \delta_{ab}$

- Dynamics from Heisenberg:

$$i \partial_0 \hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{x}) \equiv [\hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{H}] \quad ||$$

$$i \partial_0 \hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{x}) \equiv [\hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{H}] \quad ||$$

↑
defines time evolution!

In analogy with standard Hamilton equations of motion, we obtain (homework!)

$$[\hat{\varphi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{H}] = i \hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{x}) \tag{1.18}$$

$$[\hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{H}] = -i(-\vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2) \hat{\varphi}(x^0, \vec{x})$$

In the latter one it is useful to note that \hat{H} can be written (after partial integration)

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3\vec{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} \hat{\pi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\varphi}(-\vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2) \hat{\varphi} \right] \tag{1.19}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\partial_0^2 \hat{\varphi}(x^0, \vec{x}) = \partial_0^2 \hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{x}) = (\nabla^2 + m^2) \hat{\varphi}(x^0, \vec{x})$$

$$\Rightarrow [\partial_0^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2] \hat{\varphi}(x^0, \vec{x}) = 0$$

Also $\hat{\varphi}(x^0, \vec{x})$ obeys Klein-Gordon equation, like the classical solution!

As usual with wave eqn., the solution can be written as a linear combination of plane waves: Ansatz

$$\hat{\phi} = \hat{N}_p e^{-ip_\mu x^\mu} \Rightarrow (-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2) N_p = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p_0 = \pm \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$$

$$\text{Def. } E_{\vec{p}} \equiv \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}, \quad p_0 = \pm E_{\vec{p}}$$

General solution:

$$\hat{\phi} = \int d^3_{\vec{p}} \left[\hat{N}_{(\vec{p})}^1 e^{-iE_{\vec{p}}x^0 + i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + \hat{N}_{\vec{p}}^2 e^{iE_{\vec{p}}x^0 + i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right] \quad (1.20)$$

↑
switch here $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$

$$E_p x^0 - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} = p_\mu x^\mu \equiv p\cdot x$$

$$p_0 \equiv E_p \geq 0$$

Convenient normalization:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{N}_{\vec{p}}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \\ \hat{N}_{\vec{p}}^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \quad (1.21)$$

$$\hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3_{\vec{p}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[\hat{a}_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip\cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ e^{ip\cdot x} \right] \quad (1.22)$$

Why the strange normalization (1.21)?

It makes the commutation relations of $\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger}$ particularly simple:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}] &= [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}] = 0 \\ [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}] &= \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

Proof: show that (1.23) \Rightarrow (1.17)

$$(1.22) \Rightarrow \partial_0 \hat{\phi} = \int_{\vec{q}} [-iE_{\vec{q}} \hat{a}_{\vec{q}} e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} + iE_{\vec{q}} \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger} e^{+i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}}]$$

$$\equiv \int \frac{d^3\vec{q}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{q}}}} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

$$\Rightarrow [\hat{\phi}(x), \partial_0 \hat{\phi}(y)] = \int_{\vec{p}} \int_{\vec{q}} \left\{ \overbrace{iE_{\vec{q}} [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}] e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} + i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{y}}} - \underbrace{iE_{\vec{q}} [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}]}_{-\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{y}} \right\}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}}} \left\{ iE_{\vec{p}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{y} - \vec{x})} + iE_{\vec{p}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{x} - \vec{y})} \right\}$$

Demand now $x^0 = y^0 \Rightarrow$ (page 16) $= i \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{x} - \vec{y})} = i \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$

ou!

$$\text{Express } \hat{H} = \int d^3\vec{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_0 \hat{\psi})(\partial_0 \hat{\psi}) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i \hat{\psi})(\partial_i \hat{\psi}) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi} \right]$$

in terms of $\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger$:

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3\vec{p} E_{\vec{p}} \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}) \quad (1.24)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = \int d^3\vec{x} \int_{\vec{p}} \int_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[-iE_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + iE_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right] [\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{q}] + \right. \\ \left. \left[ip_i \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} - ip_i \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right] [\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{q}] + \right. \\ \left. m^2 \left[\hat{a}_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} \right] [\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{q}] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\vec{x} \int_{\vec{p}} \int_{\vec{q}} \left\{ \left[-E_{\vec{p}} E_{\vec{q}} - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{q}} e^{-i(p+q) \cdot x} + \right. \\ \left[E_{\vec{p}} E_{\vec{q}} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^\dagger e^{-i(p-q) \cdot x} + \\ \left[E_{\vec{p}} E_{\vec{q}} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{q}} e^{i(p-q) \cdot x} + \\ \left. \left[-E_{\vec{p}} E_{\vec{q}} - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^\dagger e^{i(p+q) \cdot x} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{2E_{\vec{p}}} \left\{ \left[-E_{\vec{p}}^2 - \vec{p}^2 + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} e^{-i2E_{\vec{p}} x^0} + \right. \\ \left[E_{\vec{p}}^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger + \\ \left[E_{\vec{p}}^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} + \\ \left. \left[-E_{\vec{p}}^2 - \vec{p}^2 + m^2 \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^\dagger e^{i2E_{\vec{p}} x^0} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\bar{p} E_{\bar{p}} (\hat{a}_{\bar{p}} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}) \quad \square$$

Operators $\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}$ can be interpreted as creation and annihilation operators for a particle with momentum \bar{p} . They generate a Fock-space of n -particle-states:

We observe $([AB, C] = A[B, C] + [A, C]B)$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}] &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\bar{p}' E_{\bar{p}'} \left([\hat{a}_{\bar{p}'} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}'}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}] + [\hat{a}_{\bar{p}'}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\bar{p}'}', \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}] \right) \\ &= -E_{\bar{p}} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger] = +E_{\bar{p}} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger \quad (1.26)$$

Thus, if we have an eigenstate of \hat{H} , $\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = E_\psi|\psi\rangle$

$$\hat{H} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}} |\psi\rangle = ([\hat{H}, \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}] + \hat{a}_{\bar{p}} \hat{H}) |\psi\rangle = (E_\psi - E_{\bar{p}}) |\psi\rangle$$

$$\hat{H} \hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger |\psi\rangle = (E_\psi + E_{\bar{p}}) |\psi\rangle$$

$\hat{a}_{\bar{p}} |\psi\rangle$ and $\hat{a}_{\bar{p}}^\dagger |\psi\rangle$ are eigenstates of \hat{H} with energies $E_\psi - E_{\bar{p}}$ and $E_\psi + E_{\bar{p}}$!

- Assume : \exists state with minimal energy,

$$\text{vacuum } |0\rangle : a_{\vec{p}} |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \vec{p}$$

$$\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$$

Now, the vectors

$$|\vec{p}\rangle \equiv a_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \quad \text{1-particle states}$$

$$|\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n\rangle \equiv a_{\vec{p}_1}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{p}_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{\vec{p}_n}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \quad \text{n-particle states}$$

form the basis of the Fock-space

- Normalization: $\langle \vec{p} | \vec{q} \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$
 $= \langle 0 | [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}] + \underbrace{\hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}}_{=0} | 0 \rangle = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \quad (1.27)$

and likewise for n-particle states

(NOTE: sometimes $\langle \vec{p} | \vec{q} \rangle = 2 E_{\vec{p}} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$ is used!

This comes from different normalization for $\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}$.)

- What is the energy of vacuum?

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \hat{H} | 0 \rangle &= \int d^3 \vec{p} E_{\vec{p}} \langle 0 | \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} [\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger}]}_{\delta^{(3)}(\vec{0})} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int d^3 \vec{p} E_{\vec{p}} \frac{1}{2} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{0}) = \infty ! \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

Vacuum energy is infinite !?

This is not a problem, because the vacuum energy is not observable (if we neglect gravity, i.e. GR)

This is related to the 0-point energy of the harmonic oscillator ($E_n = n + \frac{1}{2}$): ∞ number of oscillators $\Rightarrow \infty$ 0-point energy.

We can here just neglect the infinity.

Nevertheless, this is often "solved" by

the "normal ordering" trick:

$$:\hat{O}: \equiv \hat{O} \text{ where all } \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ \text{'s moved to right of } \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ \text{'s.}$$

$$:\hat{H}: = \int d^3\vec{p} E_{\vec{p}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} \quad , \quad :\hat{H}:|0\rangle = 0|0\rangle$$

In this case

$$\begin{aligned} :\hat{H}:|\vec{p}\rangle &= \int d^3\vec{p}' E_{\vec{p}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}'}^+ \hat{a}_{\vec{p}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ \hat{a}_{\vec{p}} |0\rangle \\ & \quad \underbrace{[\hat{a}_{\vec{p}'}^+, \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+] + \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^+ \hat{a}_{\vec{p}'}^+}_{+1+1} \\ &= E_{\vec{p}} |\vec{p}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

as one might expect.

Note: in cosmology, vacuum energy is very significant — cosmological constant, "dark energy"

The value is all wrong:

$$E = \int d^3\vec{p} E_{\vec{p}} \approx \int d^3\vec{p} p \approx \Lambda^4, \quad \Lambda \text{ cut-off}$$

natural value w. gravity $\Lambda = M_{\text{Planck}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \approx 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$
 $\Rightarrow E \sim 10^{76} \text{ GeV}^4$

Observed (cosmology): $E \sim 10^{-44} \text{ GeV}^4$

Estimate incorrect by 10^{120} ! It is not known how to obtain the correct value

Above we had real field $\phi(x)$.

(25)

In the case of complex field $\phi(x)$,
the field operator has 2 independent
terms:

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \int_{\vec{k}} \left[\hat{a}_{\vec{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{b}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik \cdot x} \right] \quad (1.30)$$

Here

$$[\hat{a}_{\vec{k}}, \hat{a}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}] = [\hat{b}_{\vec{k}}, \hat{b}_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}] = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} - \vec{q}) \quad (1.31)$$

other commutators = 0.

Defining $\hat{\pi} = \partial_0 \hat{\phi}$, we obtain the
canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{\phi}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{\pi}(x^0, \vec{y})] = [\hat{\phi}^{\dagger}(x^0, \vec{x}), \hat{\pi}^{\dagger}(x^0, \vec{y})] = i \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

Interpretation: consider

$$\hat{\phi}^{\dagger}(x) = \int_{\vec{k}} \left[\hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik \cdot x} + \hat{b}_{\vec{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} \right]$$

$\hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$ creates (positive freq.) particle

$\hat{b}_{\vec{k}}$ destroys antiparticle

$\hat{a}_{\vec{k}}$ destroys particle

$\hat{b}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$ creates antiparticle

} in $\hat{\phi}(x)$

Particles: Noether charge +1

Antiparticles: charge -1

Total charge conserved! (Cons. Noether current)

For real field, no conserved charge.

1.4. Propagators

Propagators or 2-point Green functions have a central role in QFTs.

For real-valued field, define Wightman-function

$$W(x, y) = \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y) | 0 \rangle \quad (1.32)$$

If $x^0 = y^0$, $W(x, y) = W(y, x)$, but in general not!